group of a neighbouring ligand. The chain is built by repetition of tributyltin(IV) 1-uracilacetate units along twofold screw axes. The carboxylate group bonds to tin through only one O atom [Sn-O(1) 2.124 (5) Å] and is monodentate. The Sn— $O(4^{i})$ bond [2.669 (6) Å] involving the uracil group is significantly shorter than the sum of the appropriate van der Waals radii (3.70 Å). Bridging by a uracil O atom is probably sterically less demanding than bridging by a carbonyl O atom. The difference in length between the Sn-O(acyl) and Sn-O(uracil) bonds is greater than 0.22 Å, the difference found triphenyltin(IV) 8-quinolyloxyacetate hydrate (Kumar Das, Chen, Ng & Mak, 1987) where the molecules are linked by hydrogen bonding through coordinated water molecules. In a triphenyltin(IV) 3-pyridinecarboxylate (Ng, Kumar Das, van Meurs, Schagen & Straver, 1989) the corresponding difference is 0.431 Å and molecules are linked by intermolecular Sn←:N bridges.

The three C atoms C(1), C(5) and C(9) define the equatorial plane of the trigonal bipyramid, with the Sn atom located 0.292 (2) Å above this plane. The Sn—C distances are 2.126 (14), 2.154 (11) and 2.140 (10) Å and the sum of the C—Sn—C angles is

 $354.4 (13)^{\circ}$. The O—Sn—O skeleton is nearly linear [172.8 (2)°]; similar angles in polymeric triorgano-tin(IV) carboxylates range from 170 to 174°.

References

- AHMED, F. R., HALL, S. R., PIPPY, M. E. & HUBER, C. P. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM360 System. Accession Nos. 133-147. J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309-346.
- AMINI, M. M., NG, S. W., FIDELIS, K. A., HEEG, M. J., MUCHMORE, C. R., VAN DER HELM, D. & ZUCKERMAN, J. J. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 365, 103-110.
- EVANS, C. J. & KARPEL, S. (1985). Organotin Compounds in Modern Technology. J. Organomet. Chem. Libr. Vol. 16. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- KUMAR DAS, V. G., CHEN, W., NG, S. W. & MAK, T. C. W. (1987). J. Organomet. Chem. 322, 33-47.
- MERIEM, A., GIELEN, M. & WILLEM, R. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 365, 91-101.
- NARDELLI, M. (1984). PARST. A System of Computer Routines for Calculating Molecular Parameters from the Results of Crystal Structure Analysis. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
- NG, S. W., CHEN, W. & KUMAR DAS, V. G. (1988). J. Organomet. Chem. 345, 59-64.
- NG, S. W., KUMAR DAS, V. G., VAN MEURS, F., SCHAGEN, J. D. & STRAVER, L. H. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 570-572.
- PAVELČÍK, F. (1987). A Computer Program for Syntex P21 Data Reduction. Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, J. A. Komenský Univ., 83232 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 53-57

Synthesis and Structure of 3-Fluorenyl-3-cobalta-1,2-dicarba-*closo*-nonaborane(11), $3-(\eta^5-C_{13}H_9)-3,1,2-closo-CoC_2B_9H_{11}$

BY ZOE G. LEWIS AND ALAN J. WELCH

Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland

(Received 25 May 1991; accepted 22 July 1991)

Abstract. $C_{15}H_{20}B_9Co$, $M_r = 356.55$, orthorhombic, a = 8.759 (3), b = 13.037 (3), $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$, c =15.366 (4) Å, V = 1754.7 (8) Å³, Z = 4, 1.349 Mg m⁻³, Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, $D_x =$ $\mu =$ 0.94 mm^{-1} , F(000) = 728, T = 291 (1) K, R = 0.0793for 1930 independent observed reflections. The (staggered) conformation of the fluorenyl ligand relative to the metal-bonded C_2B_3 face of the carbaborane is such that one pair of junction C atoms is trans to a B-B connectivity, the other being trans to a B-C connectivity. This conformation is shown to be the optimum by analysis of the results from molecular orbital calculations on a model compound.

Introduction. The synthesis and structural properties of carbametallaboranes in which the indenyl ligand

 (C_9H_7) is η^5 -bonded (exopolyhedrally) to the cluster metal atom are of interest since (i) electronically controlled conformational possibilities exist that are not possible in analogous cyclopentadienyl carbametallaboranes and (ii) slipping distortions are well known both in carbametallaboranes and in indenyl transition-metal compounds separately, and it is instructive to devise molecules in which either or both distortions are present, possibly in competition.

To these ends we have already reported the compound $3-(\eta^5-C_9H_7)-3,1,2-closo-CoC_2B_9H_{11}$ and its le⁻⁻ and 2e⁻⁻ reduced forms (Smith & Welch, 1986). The conformation of, and slipping distortions in, the neutral compound are rationalized (*via* frontier molecular orbital analysis) in terms of the influence of the six-carbon ring fused to the side of the η^5 -

0108-2701/92/010053-05\$03.00

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography

pentagon. Recently (Lewis, Reed & Welch, 1991) we have extended this initial study by probing the consequences on structure of introducing ether (-- CH_2OCH_3) and phenyl groups as substituents to the cage C atoms.

The fluorenyl ligand $(C_{13}H_9)$ is to indenyl as indenyl is to cyclopentadienyl, *i.e.* it comprises *two* pseudo-aromatic six-carbon rings fused to the central C_5 unit. Clearly it was of interest to determine the key structural features of a molecule containing both fluorenyl and carbaborane ligands, and accordingly the studies described herein were undertaken.

Experimental. The title compound was prepared by dropwise addition of a tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution of lithium fluorenide (1.079 mmol) to a cooled (273 K), stirred suspension of $Tl[TlC_2B_9H_{11}]$ (0.583 g, 1.079 mmol) and Co(acac)₃ (0.384 g, 1.079 mmol) (acac = acetylacetonate) in THF (20 cm^3). After 3 h the mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated in vacuo. CH₂Cl₂ was added to the resulting brown solid, the product filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a small volume. TLC (CH₂Cl₂:hexane, 1:1) on silica plates afforded the product as an amber band ($R_f 0.45$) in low yield (< 5%). Dark red needles were grown by diffusion of hexane into a CH₂Cl₂ solution at 263 K. Crystal, $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.5$ mm, mounted in a Lindemann capillary and set on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer (Mo $K\alpha$ Xradiation, graphite monochromator); cell parameters and orientation matrix from least-squares refinement of the setting angles $(5 < \theta < 12^{\circ})$ of 25 centred reflections: data collection by $\omega - 2\theta$ scans in 96 steps with ω -scan width $(0.8 + 0.34 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$; two octants of data (h: 0 to 10, k: 0 to 15, l: -18 to 18) measured for $1 \le \theta \le 25^\circ$ over 113 X-ray hours with no appreciable decay or movement; corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects applied (Gould & Smith, 1986); of 3505 data measured, 2182 [$F \ge$ 2.0 $\sigma(F)$] used to solve (direct methods: Sheldrick, 1986) and refine (Sheldrick, 1976) the structure to isotropic convergence; cage C atoms identified by a combination of intercage distances and refined (as B) cage atom thermal parameters; absolute configuration established by parallel refinement of both enantiomers: empirical absorption correction (Walker & Stuart, 1983) applied (correction factors 0.767–1.191); equivalent reflections (hol and $h0\bar{l}$; 0kl and $0k\bar{l}$; 00l and 00l) merged ($R_{\text{merge}} = 0.032$) to afford a final set of 1930 reflections; all non-H atoms refined with anisotropic thermal parameters; cage H atoms allowed positional refinement subject to a single X---H distance of 1.05(1) Å; fluorenyl H atoms set in idealized positions with C-H 1.08 Å; cage and fluorenyl H atoms refined with separate thermal parameters, 0.039 (8) group and 0.103 (12) Å² respectively at convergence; weights

assigned according to $w^{-1} = [\sigma^2(F) + 0.000473F^2]$. Model refined by least squares on F in two blocks (fluorenyl ligand and carbacobaltaborane, 121 and 145 parameters respectively) to convergence; R =0.0793, wR = 0.0605, S = 1.16 (relatively high residuals attributed to crystal quality); maximum shift/e.s.d. in final cycle < 0.06; maximum and minimum residues in final ΔF synthesis 0.86 and -1.36 e Å⁻³ respectively; scattering factors for C, H and B inlaid in SHELX76. Those for Co from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV); figures drawn using EASYORTEP (Mallinson & Muir, 1985); molecular geometry calculations via CALC (Gould & Taylor, 1986).

Discussion. Table 1 lists coordinates of refined non-H atoms and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters,* and Table 2 details internuclear distances and selected interbond angles. Fig. 1 shows a perspective view of a single molecule and demonstrates the atomic numbering scheme adopted.

The study confirms that the title compound (1), which was produced in yields too low for spectroanalysis, is $3-(\eta^5-C_{13}H_9)-3, 1, 2-closo$ scopic $CoC_2B_9H_{11}$. To the best of our knowledge (1), which has been synthesized (albeit in low yield) by an analogous route to that which has previously afforded 3- $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)-3,1,2$ -closo-CoC₂B₉H₁₁ (2) (Jones & Hawthorne, 1973) and $3-(\eta^5-C_9H_7)-3,1,2-closo CoC_2B_9H_{11}$ (3) (Smith & Welch, 1986), is the first reported fluorenyl carbametallaborane. Moreover, it is one of relatively few fluorenyl transition-metal compounds to have been structurally characterized; other examples include $(\eta^5-C_{13}H_9)(\eta^3-C_{13}H_9)ZrCl_2$ (4) (Kowala & Wunderlich, 1976) and $(\eta^{5}$ - $C_{13}H_9$)Cr(CO)₂(NO) (5) (Atwood, Shakir, Malito, Herberhold, Kremnitz, Bernhagen & Alt, 1979).

Compound (1) crystallizes with no important intermolecular contacts. The lengths of the Co-cage atom connectivities in (1) compare well with those of the equivalent connectivities in (2) and (3) (Smith & Welch, 1986). The metal-bonded C₂B₃ face is, as usual, folded into an envelope conformation about B(4)...B(7), with fold angles (Mingos, Forsyth & Welch, 1978; Smith & Welch, 1986) $\theta^p = 2.69$ and φ^p = 1.37°. The slip parameter Δp that defines any lateral movement of the metal atom across the polyhedral face is zero to within experimental error.

The fluorenyl ligand in (1) is η^5 bonded to cobalt (η^6 -fluorenyl bonding is known; Johnson & Triechel,

^{*} Lists of structure factors, H-atom positions, additional interbond angles and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 54570 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference MU0270]

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters (Å²) ·~ ~ · · · ·

> /2

Table 2.	Interatomic	distances	(Å)	and	selected	inter-		
bond angles (°)								

$U_{eq} = (\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} d_i^* d_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j)/3.$							
	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}			
Co(3)	0.32496 (14)	0.07999 (9)	1.10255 (8)	0.0281 (5)			
C(1)	0.2923 (9)	0.0570 (6)	1.2277 (6)	0.030 (6)			
B(4)	0.2404 (14)	0.1767 (11)	1.1933 (10)	0.049 (9)			
C(2)	0.4649 (12)	0.0293 (7)	1.1976 (6)	0.040 (7)			
B(8)	0.4007 (14)	0.2266 (9)	1.1367 (7)	0.041 (8)			
B(7)	0.5410 (13)	0.1253 (10)	1.1362 (7)	0.042 (8)			
B(11)	0.5957 (14)	0.1033 (10)	1.2473 (9)	0.045 (9)			
B(12)	0.5527 (14)	0.2298 (9)	1.2102 (8)	0.037 (8)			
B(6)	0.4378 (16)	0.0584 (9)	1.3046 (7)	0.044 (8)			
B(5)	0.2956 (14)	0.1527 (10)	1.3036 (7)	0.044 (8)			
B(10)	0.4906 (14)	0.1845 (10)	1.3132 (7)	0.042 (8)			
B(9)	0.3709 (15)	0.2604 (10)	1.2495 (10)	0.054 (9)			
C(32)	0.3426 (12)	0.0788 (8)	0.9664 (5)	0.041 (5)			
C(31)	0.3627 (10)	- 0.0272 (8)	0.9991 (6)	0.042 (7)			
C(39)	0.2214 (11)	- 0.0536 (7)	1.0438 (6)	0.038 (6)			
C(33)	0.2047 (11)	0.1180 (8)	0.9947 (6)	0.051 (7)			
C(38)	0.1737 (13)	-0.1422 (7)	1.0881 (6)	0.047 (6)			
C(34)	0.1237 (11)	0.0330 (8)	1.0374 (7)	0.048 (7)			
C(35)	-0.0250(11)	0.0336 (8)	1.0774 (7)	0.052 (7)			
C(36)	-0.0653 (12)	- 0.0519 (10)	1.1200 (8)	0.070 (9)			
C(37)	0.0299 (13)	-0.1385 (10)	1.1269 (7)	0.071 (9)			
C(310)	0.5019 (10)	- 0.0845 (10)	0.9858 (6)	0.053 (7)			
C(313)	0.4634 (13)	0.1186 (9)	0.9136 (6)	0.060 (8)			
C(312)	0.5872 (13)	0.0633 (10)	0.9002 (7)	0.064 (8)			
C(311)	0.6130 (13)	- 0.0367 (10)	0.9368 (7)	0.066 (8)			



Fig. 1. Perspective view of $3-(\eta^5-C_{13}H_9)-3, 1, 2-closo-CoC_2B_9H_{11}(1)$ (50% thermal ellipsoids, except for H atoms which have an artificial radius of 0.1 Å for clarity).

1977) and the metal atom is substantially slipped (Δ^h = 0.095 Å) across the C₅ ring towards $\dot{C}(33)$, resulting in a spread of Co-C_{fluorenyl} distances of 0.134 Å. We note that both smaller [compound (5), Δ^h = 0.011 Å] and larger [compound (4), $\Delta^h = 0.244$ Å] slip distortions are observed for formally η^5 fluorenyl ligands [cf. $\Delta^h = 0.474$ Å for a formally η^3 -fluorenyl ligand in compound (4)]. The C₅ ring in (1) is also folded towards Co(3) in an envelope conformation, by 6.87° across the C(32)…C(34) vector. However, because the best (least-squares) plane through the C_5 ring is not parallel with that through the B(5)-B(6)-B(11)-B(12)-B(9) pentagon (dihedral angle 2.16°), it is not possible to quantify accurately θ^h and φ^h as it was for (2) and (3)

Co(3) - C(1)	1.967 (8)	B(11)—B(12) 1.	.785 (18)
Co(3) - B(4)	2.021 (14)	B(11) - B(6) 1.	.741 (18)
$C_0(3) \rightarrow C(2)$	2.018 (10)	B(11) - B(10) = 1	.730 (18)
$C_0(3) - B(8)$	2 090 (12)	B(12) - B(10) = 1	775 (17)
$C_0(3) - B(7)$	2 049 (12)	B(12) - B(9) = 1	749 (18)
$C_0(3) - C_0(3)$	2.049 (12)	B(6) - B(5) = 1	750 (17)
$C_{0}(3) - C_{0}(31)$	2.000 (10)	B(6) = B(10) 1	713 (17)
$C_{0}(3) - C_{0}(3)$	2.142 (10)	B(0) = B(10) = 1 B(5) = B(10) = 1	764 (17)
$C_0(3) - C(33)$	2.100 (9)	B(5) = B(10) = 1	761 (12)
$C_{0}(3) - C_{0}(3)$	2.020 (10)	B(3) - B(9) = 1	742 (18)
Co(3) - C(34)	2.118 (10)	B(10)—B(9) I	. /43 (18)
C(1) - B(4)	1.709 (16)	C(32) - C(31) = 1	.481 (14)
C(1) - C(2)	1.621 (13)	C(32) - C(33) = 1	.382 (14)
C(1) - B(6)	1.738 (15)	C(32)—C(313) 1	.430 (15)
C(1) - B(5)	1.708 (15)	C(31)—C(39) 1	.456 (13)
B(4) - B(8)	1.775 (18)	C(31)—C(310) 1	.444 (14)
B(4) - B(5)	1.790 (18)	C(39)—C(38) 1	.405 (14)
B(4) - B(9)	1.801 (19)	C(39)—C(34) 1	.420 (14)
C(2) - B(7)	1.703 (16)	C(33)—C(34) 1	.471 (14)
C(2) - B(11)	1.682 (16)	C(38) - C(37) = 1	.395 (15)
C(2) - B(6)	1 704 (16)	C(34) - C(35) = 1	440 (15)
B(8) = B(7)	1 803 (17)	C(35) - C(36) = 1	340 (16)
D(0) = D(1)	1.005(17)	C(36) - C(30) = 1	409 (17)
D(0) - D(12)	1.747 (17)	C(30) - C(37) = 1	.406 (17)
B(8)—B(9)	1.808 (18)	C(310) - C(311) = 1	.3/9 (16)
B(7) - B(11)	1.796 (18)	C(313) - C(312) = 1	.318 (16)
B(7)—B(12)	1.777 (17)	C(312) - C(311) = 1	.439 (16)
C(1) - Co(3) - E	B (4) 50.7 (5)	B(11) - B(12) - B(10)	58.1 (7)
C(1)-Co(3)-C	2(2) 48.0 (4)	B(10) - B(12) - B(9)	59.3 (7)
B(4) - Co(3) - E	(8) 51.1 (5)	C(1) - B(6) - C(2)	56.2 (6)
C(2) - Co(3) - H	3(7) 49.5 (4)	C(1) - B(6) - B(5)	58.6 (6)
B(8) - Co(3) - F	3(7) 51.7 (5)	C(2) - B(6) - B(11)	58.4 (7)
C(32) - Co(3) - Co(3)	C(31) = 40.9(4)	B(11) - B(6) - B(10)	60.1 (7)
C(32) - Co(3) - Co(3)	C(33) 391(4)	B(5) - B(6) - B(10)	61 2 (7)
C(31) - Co(3) - Co(3)	C(30) 30.6(4)	C(1) = B(5) = B(4)	58 4 (6)
C(31) = CO(3) = CO(3)	C(37) = 37.0(4)	C(1) = D(5) = D(4)	20.4 (0) 20.2 (6)
C(39) - Co(3) - Co(3)	C(34) = 36.7(4)	C(1) - B(3) - B(0)	00.3 (0)
C(33) = C0(3) = C0(3) = C(3)	C(34) = 41.3(4)	B(4) - B(3) - B(9)	61.0(7)
$C_{0}(3) - C(1) - 1$	S (4) 66.3 (5)	B(0) - B(2) - B(10)	58.3 (7)
$C_0(3) - C(1) - C(1)$	(2) 67.6 (5)	B(10) - B(5) - B(9)	59.3 (7)
B(4) - C(1) - B(5) 63.2 (7)	B(11) - B(10) - B(12)	61.2 (7)
C(2) - C(1) - B(6) 60.9 (6)	B(11) - B(10) - B(6)	60.8 (7)
B(6) - C(1) - B(5) 61.1 (6)	B(12) - B(10) - B(9)	59.6 (7)
Co(3) - B(4) - C	C(1) 63.0 (5)	B(6) - B(10) - B(5)	60.4 (7)
Co(3)-B(4)-B	B(8) 66.4 (6)	B(5) - B(10) - B(9)	60.3 (7)
C(1) - B(4) - B(4)	5) 58.4 (6)	B(4) - B(9) - B(8)	58.9 (7)
B(8) - B(4) - B(4)	9) 60.7 (7)	B(4) - B(9) - B(5)	60.3 (7)
B(5) - B(4) - B(4)	9) 58.7 (7)	B(8) - B(9) - B(12)	58.8 (7)
$C_{0}(3) - C(2) - C(2$	C(1) = 64.4(5)	B(12) - B(9) - B(10)	61.1 (7)
$C_0(3) - C(2) - H$	3(7) 66.2 (5)	B(5) - B(9) - B(10)	60.4 (7)
C(1) - C(2) - B(2)	6) 63.0 (6)	$C(31) \rightarrow C(32) \rightarrow C(33)$	1100(8)
B(7) - C(2) - B(3)	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)	C(31) - C(32) - C(31)	116.3(9)
B(11) = C(2) = B(11)	11) 04.1(7)	C(31) - C(32) - C(31)	110.3(9)
D(11) - C(2) - L	P(0) = 01.9(7)	C(33) - C(32) - C(31)	1042(9)
$C_0(3) = B(8) = I$	D(4) = 02.4(0)	C(32) = C(31) = C(33)	100.2(0)
$C_0(3) - B(8) - B(8)$	S(7) = 0.0(5)	C(32) - C(31) - C(31)	$J_{j} = 122.5(9)$
B(4) - B(8) - B(6)	9) 60.3 (7)	C(39) - C(31) - C(31)	J) 131.4 (9)
B(7) - B(8) - B(8)	12) 60.0 (7)	C(31) - C(39) - C(38)) 132.5 (9)
B(12) - B(8) - E	8(9) 58.9 (7)	C(31) - C(39) - C(34)) 107.0 (8)
Co(3) - B(7) - Co(3)	C(2) 64.3 (5)	C(38)—C(39)—C(34)) 120.5 (9)
Co(3) - B(7) - B(7)	B(8) 65.3 (5)	C(32)—C(33)—C(34)) 106.5 (9)
C(2) - B(7) - B(7)	11) 57.4 (7)	C(39)-C(38)-C(37)) 116.6 (9)
B(8) - B(7) - B(7)	12) 58.4 (7)	C(39)-C(34)-C(33)) 109.8 (9)
B(11)—B(7)—E	S(12) 59.9 (7)	C(39)-C(34)-C(35) 121.4 (9)
C(2) - B(11) - F	3(7) 58.5 (7)	C(33)-C(34)-C(35) 128.5 (9)
C(2) - B(11) - F	3(6) 59.7 (7)	C(34)-C(35)-C(36) 116.2 (10
B(7) - B(11) - F	(12) 59 5 (7)	C(35) - C(36) - C(37)	123.3 (11
B(12) = B(11) =	B(10) = 60.6(7)	C(38) - C(37) - C(36)	123.3(11)
B(A) = B(11) = E	u(10) = 00.0(7)	C(31) = C(310) = C(30)	11) 116 1 (0)
	(10) 39.1(7) 61.6(7)	C(31) - C(310) - C(17 110.1 (9)
D(0) = D(12) = 0	(1) (1) (1)	(32) - (313) - (33)	12) 119.1 (10)
B(8)-B(12)-B	(y) 62.3 (7)	C(313) - C(312) - C	511) 124.3 (11 212) 122 2 (12
$-\mathbf{K}(1) - \mathbf{K}(12) - \mathbf{F}$	SELLI 60.6 (7)	CG10—CG10—C(3121 120.8 (10

(Smith & Welch, 1986). Indeed, the fluorenyl ligand as a whole is tilted such that C(37) is ca 0.25 A closer to the B_5 plane than is C(313).

As previously stated, an important feature of the structure of (1) is the conformation of the fluorenyl ligand relative to the metal-bonded C₂B₃ face. A previous study of (3) has shown that the preferred conformation of the indenyl ligand is such that its six-membered ring lies *cisoid* with respect to the cage C atoms. In this (staggered) conformation (staggered conformations are always observed in compounds of the $[nido-C_2B_9H_{11}]^{2-}$ ligand since H atoms exo to the metal-bonded cage atoms are inclined towards the metal) the relatively weak metal-Cring junction bonding can best be compensated by strong metal-B bonding, the frontier molecular orbitals of the carbaborane ligand having been shown to be localized on the B atoms of the C_2B_3 face (Mingos, Forsyth & Welch, 1978). Extending this argument to (1), in which there are two pairs of ring-junction C atoms in the carbocyclic ligand, of the three possible staggered conformations (I-III, Scheme 1) (I) is clearly expected to be preferred and is, indeed, the conformation observed in (1) (Fig. 2). The electronic preference for (I) has been confirmed by the results of a



Fig. 2. Plan view of (1) illustrating the orientation of the fluorenyl ligand to the carbaborane ligand. For clarity only the metalbonded C_2B_3 face of the carbaborane ligand is shown, and B atoms are drawn as plain ellipsoids.



Rotation angle, α°

Fig. 3. Plot of relative energy (eV) versus α , the angle of rotation of the fluorenyl ligand about the Co(3)...B(10) axis, for an idealized model of (1) as given by extended Huckel molecular orbital calculations.

series of extended Huckel molecular orbital calculations (Howell, Rossi, Wallace, Haraki & Hoffmann, 1977) performed on idealized models of (1). Fig. 3 plots the sum of $1e^-$ energy as a function of α , the angle of rotation of the fluorenyl ligand about the Co(3)···B(10) axis. $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ corresponds to (III). Local minima occur at $\alpha = 0$, 72 and 144° (staggered conformations) and local maxima at $\alpha = 36$, 108 and 180° (eclipsed conformations). Note that all staggered forms are more stable than all eclipsed forms, the best eclipsed form being that in which C(1)—C(2)is eclipsed by C(39)—C(31) corresponding to both pairs of junction C atoms lying trans to B atoms. The global minimum, however, ($\alpha = 144^{\circ}$) corresponds to the conformation of the structure of (1) determined crystallographically.



In conformation (I) the ring-junction atoms C(34) and C(39) are *trans* to two B atoms, whereas C(31) and C(32) are *trans* to one B and one cage C atom. Since, as outlined above, metal-boron bonding is expected to be somewhat stronger than metal-cage carbon bonding, we would expect weaker interactions between Co(3) and C(34,39) than between Co(3) and C(31,32). This expectation is in agreement with the measured distances, and although the differences involved $[\Delta_{Co(3)-C(31)/Co(3)-C(39)}] =$ 0.018 (13), $\Delta_{Co(3)-C(32)/Co(3)-C(34)} = 0.020$ (14) Å] are not statistically significant, they are nevertheless consistent with the calculated atom-atom overlap populations shown in (I).

We thank the DENI for support (ZGL) and the Callery Chemical Company for a generous gift of $B_{10}H_{14}$.

References

ATWOOD, J. L., SHAKIR, R., MALITO, J. T., HERBERHOLD, M., KREMNITZ, W., BERNHAGEN, W. P. E. & ALT, H. G. (1979). J. Organomet. Chem. 165, 65–78. GOULD, R. O. & SMITH, D. E. (1986). CADABS. Program for data reduction. Univ. of Edinburgh, Scotland.

- GOULD, R. O. & TAYLOR, P. (1986). CALC. Program for molecular geometry calculations. Univ. of Edinburgh, Scotland.
- HOWELL, J., ROSSI, A., WALLACE, D., HARAKI, K. & HOFFMANN, R. (1977). Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, No. 344. Univ. of Indiana, USA.
- JOHNSON, J. W. & TRIECHEL, P. M. (1977). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 1427-1436.
- JONES, C. J. & HAWTHORNE, M. F. (1973). Inorg. Chem. 12, 608-610.
- KOWALA, C. & WUNDERLICH, J. A. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 820-823.

- LEWIS, Z. G., REED, D. & WELCH, A. J. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. Submitted.
- MALLINSON, P. & MUIR, K. W. (1985). J. Appl. Cryst. 18, 51-53.
- MINGOS, D. M. P., FORSYTH, M. I. & WELCH, A. J. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1363–1374.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELX86. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

SMITH, D. E. & WELCH, A. J. (1986). Organometallics, 5, 760-766.

WALKER, N. G. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1992). C48, 57-59

Metal-Betaine Interactions. XI.* Structure of *catena*-[µ-Dichloro-(triethylammonioacetato)cadmium(II)]

BY XIAO-MING CHEN AND THOMAS C. W. MAK[†]

Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

AND WEI-YUAN HUANG AND LONG LÜ

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Academia Sinica, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai, 200032, People's Republic of China

(Received 7 May 1991; accepted 8 July 1991)

Abstract. A new cadmium(II) complex of triethylammonioacetate $[(C_2H_5)_3N^+CH_2COO^-, designated$ Et₃BET] has been prepared and characterized. $M_r = 342.55,$ $[Cd{(C_2H_5)_3NCH_2COO}(\mu-Cl)_2]_n,$ monoclinic, C2/c, a = 18.097 (4), b = 9.714 (2), c =13.845 (1) Å, $\beta = 92.46$ (1)°, U = 2431.1 (7) Å³, Z =8, $D_m = 1.862$, $D_x = 1.871 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) =$ 0.71073 Å, $\mu = 22.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 1360, T = 298 (1) K, $R = 0.024 \text{ for } 2875 \text{ unique Mo } K\alpha$ observed data. Each Cd^{II} atom is in a distorted octahedral environment, surrounded by an uncommon symmetrical bidentate chelating carboxylato group and two pairs of bridging chloro ligands. Successive octahedra related by the *c*-glide share edges to generate a one-dimensional polymeric structure.

Introduction. Although the carboxylate group exhibits a number of coordination modes in the extensively studied crystal structures of its metal complexes, the symmetrical bidentate chelating mode is rarely observed. This has been correlated to the relief of steric constraints induced by the coordina-

tion mode resulting in the more appreciable unsymmetrical form of chelation (Oldham, 1987).

In our ongoing systematic investigation on the ligating properties of betaines, considered as neutral structural analogues of carboxylato ligands, we have found that the prototype betaine, $Me_3N^+CH_2COO^-$ (abbreviated as BET), and its derivatives exhibit a rich variety of bonding modes in their metal complexes (Huang, Lü, Chen & Mak, 1991), including the common bidentate syn-syn and syn-anti bridging modes and the tridentate bridging mode. Two very interesting variations have also been found, with pyridine betaine $(C_5H_5N^+CH_2COO^-, pyBET)$ acting as an unusual syn-skew bridging ligand in $[Cd_3(pyBET)_4Cl_6]$ (Mak & Chen, 1991), and BET acting as an uncommon skew-skew bridging ligand in $[Mn(BET)_3]_n$. $nMnCl_4$ (Chen & Mak, 1991a). Owing to the fact that the O-C-O bond angle in betaine ligands is usually larger in comparison to that of the common carboxylates, the bidentate chelating mode is rarely observed in metal complexes of betaines. Even for zinc complexes, in which most carboxylates behave as bidentate chelates, the betaines still function as unidentate ligands in $[Zn(BET)_2Cl_2]$. H₂O and two other related zinc(II) complexes (Chen & Mak, 1991b). Hitherto the only example of an unsymmetrical chelating betaine

© 1992 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} For Part X on silver(I) complexes of triethylammonioacetate, see Huang, Lü, Chen & Mak (1991).

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed.